<b>TABLE OF</b>	<b>CONTENTS</b>
-----------------	-----------------

			Page	
1.	INTRODUCTION			
	1.1	History of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Deep Foundations	6	
	1.2	Definition of Non-Destructive Testing	10	
	1.3	Purpose and Goals	11	
	1.4	End Users	12	
	1.5	Scope	13	
	1.6	Terminology	13	
	1.7	References	14	
2.	APPLICATION OF NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING TO DRILLED SHAFTS			
	2.1	Overview	16	
	2.2	Project Requirements	16	
	2.3	Selecting a Non-Destructive Test Method	18	
	2.4	Preparing Specifications	21	
	2.5	Contract Aspects	22	
	2.6	References	23	
3.	INSPECTION AND OBSERVATION METHODS			
	3.1	Drilled Shaft Construction Inspection	24	
	3.2	Video Camera Inspection	25	
	3.3	Shaft Wall Inspection	27	
	3.4	Shaft Calipers	28	
	3.5	References	29	
4.	INTEGRITY TESTING METHODS			
	4.1	Introduction	30	
	4.2	Cross-Hole Sonic Logging (CSL)	30	
	4.3	Single-Hole Sonic Logging (SSL)	36	
	4.4	Impulse Echo (Sonic Echo) Method	37	
	4.5	Impulse Response Spectrum (Sonic Mobility) Method	41	
	4.6	Parallel Seismic Method	44	
	4.7	Tube Radioactive Integrity Testing (Gamma-Gamma		
		and Nuclear Backscatter)	47	
	4.8	References	49	
5.	LOAD TEST METHODS			
	5.1	High Strain Dynamic Testing (HSDT)	51	
	5.2	Rapid Load Testing	60	
	5.3	Static Load Testing	66	
	5.4	Bottom Load Test (Bi-Directional)	69	
	5.5	References	76	

## 6. CORROBORATION AND REMEDIATION OPTIONS

6.1	Corroboration of Results	79
6.2	The Re-engineering Option	81
6.3	Foundation Replacement	85
61	Danair and Crouting Mathada	96

- 6.4 Repair and Grouting Methods 86 88
- 6.5 References

## TABLES

- 2.1 Rating Guidelines for Supporting Decisions for Implementation of Non-Destructive Integrity Testing Methods (based on Baker et al., 1993 and modified by O'Neill and Reese, 1999).
- 2.2 Summary of Relative Advantages and Limitations of Non-Destructive Integrity **Testing Methods**

## **FIGURES**

- 1.1 Schematic of Typical Drilled Shaft (after O'Neill and Reese, 1999)
- 3.1 Acoustic Caliper Measurement Results for a Drilled Shaft Survey.
- 4.1 Typical CSL Testing Arrangement Showing Transmitter and Receiver Transducers at Several Depths. (b)Plan View of CSL Tubes Showing Possible **Test Combinations**
- 4.2 Plot of Signal Amplitude versus Time
- 4.3 Plot of Arrival Time (or Threshold) and Relative Energy with Depth
- 4.4 Schematic of CSL Data Reduction and Profile Compilation
- 4.5 Typical SSL Testing Arrangement Showing Transmitter and Receiver Transducers.
- 4.6 Typical Impulse Echo Testing Setup.
- 4.7 Typical Velocity (a) and Force (b) Plots for Impulse Echo Test
- Wave Propagation in a Pile with Changes in Impedance Plotted with Time and 4.8 Depth (after Vyncke and Van Nieuwenburg, 1987).
- 4.9 Idealized Response Curve of Mobility vs. Frequency for Impulse Response Test
- Parallel Seismic Test Setup Configuration, and (b) Typical Idealized Plot of 4.10 Signals with Depth
- 4.11 Radioactive Probe Schematic for Nuclear Backscatter Radioactive Integrity Testing
- 5.1 Attaching HSDT Sensors to a Small Drilled Shaft.
- 5.2 Small Drop Weight with Leads
- 5.3 8-ton Drop Weight System
- 5.4 30-ton Drop Weight System
- 5.5 HSDT Force and Velocity Data for a Drilled Shaft
- 5.6 30 MN "Gas-Pressure" Equipment Using Gravel Catch System (left), 16 MN Using Mechanical Catch System Over Water (center), and 4 MN Using Hydraulic Catch System (right).
- 5.7 "Drop-Mass" Load Tester
- 5.8 Schematic Model for the Analysis of Rapid Load Test Results.
- 5.9 Measured and Derived Static Load-Movement for a Rapid Load Test.
- 5.10 Top and Bottom Load Test.
- 5.11 Test Arrangement for Bottom Load Test.

- 5.12 Graphical Results of Bottom Load Test.
- 5.13 Alternative Bottom Cell Locations.
- 5.14 Equivalent Top-Down Curve for Side Shear and End Bearing Curves shown in Figure 5.12.
- 6.1 Decision Process for Acceptance of Drilled Shafts (Baker et al., 1993).